G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

File No. 163

192/.

Serial Nos.

( Miz ) 1—4

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.*

Part B for May 22

---

SUBJECT.

Gravelling the prakaram of the Sri Hariharaswami temple at Harihar.

---

D.O. Chitaloor

No. 6574 D/20.5.22

Declining to sanction the

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

C.R.W.

G. R. S. 11) 1920-21.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscellaneous)

NOTES.

File No. 167-21.

FILE HEADING.

Estimate for gravelling the Prakaram of the Sri Harihareswaraswami temple at Harihar.

From

The Deputy Commr., Chitaldrug D.t.

R.O.C.No. 147 Rev. 21-22. Dated 7.9.21. Received 8.9.21.

| Diary No. 239 | Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for sanction an estimate for Rs. 390 for the above work and states that there is a lot of nuts and pits around the temple, that the ground is very uneven and it is very difficult to walk there, that it is very desirable to keep it in good condition so that locals refer to the temple for worship from

NOTES AND ORDERS.

not find it convenient to go round the temple. The Harihar Municipality has also agreed to pay one third of the cost i.e. Rs. 130 as the road is used by the public as a thoroughfare. That only two thirds of the cost, i.e. Rs. 260 be met from the funds at the disposal of

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Miscl. File No. 163 of 1921-22.

Serial No

1. The D.C. reports that the balance at the credit of the institution is Rs. 2412-14-11. An extract from the proceedings of the monthly meeting of the Hanwella municipality held on 8th August 1921 is also enclosed, and the D.C. states that arrangements will be made for crediting the municipal contribution to the funds of the institution after the estimate is sanctioned. The D.C. has countersigned the estimate.

Submitted for orders.

SAM
14.9.21.

BA
15/9/21

2. Mr. Chief Clerk the estimate

3. - Checked & found that the total amount of the estimate is only Rs 380/- Corrected in red ink. The rates provided for are also

submitted 22/9/21.

SD
22/9

The estimate here with is returned duly passed for Rs 380 and (Sgd) Gerard Wikramanayake 22/9/21

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jnrs/ File No. of 19

Serial No.

2040-15-9
24. 9. 21

S.D. Were any reason why the Zp Bd should not be asked to contribute one third of the cost? The temple is used not only by the townspeople but also by the people of the Taluq. at least an Enquiry may be made. I do not suppose the Zp Bd is financially worse off than the mfpal. cl.

Rec 26.9.21 W 26/9/21

Draft letter to the D.C. submitted.
JRV.
28.9.21 BL 28/9/21

Secret Member W 28/9/21

2125-244.
30.9.21.

Draft approved
Rec
2-10-21

Issue W 3/10/21

2 D
D.C. Chitaldrug.
No 1879 dt 6-10-21
Enquiries whether the Harihar Taluk Board would be agreeable to contribute one-third of the cost of the work.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 163 of 1921.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| Papers under | 3 |

From the A. G. Uhitaldwg. No. A.O.C.-1. Rec. 21-22 d. 10.1.22. ——— States that the Salvage Board of Hambantota have agreed to bear one third of the cost of the estimate for the above work, viz: 130/- & for. sets an extract from the Board's resolution on the subject.

Subd. with reference to the Member's query in para 5 above, the funds at the credit of the work on 1.7.21 as per Superintendent's figures amounted to Rs. 2,010-9-9.

A draft order sanctioning the estimate is put up & file subd. for orders.

MW Fair draft subd.

19.1.22. MW.

Put up fair draft

BG 20.1.22.

BG 20/1/22

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
L 3985.
23 JAN 1922

(Sgd) J. Uhitaldwg 20/1/22

Both the M.C. and the Salvage Board have each agreed to bear quota viz Rs 130/-.

There appears to be no objection to pass the draft proposed.

Vdl 10/2. 10/2

3rd Member) DCS 10/2/22

3990 10/2/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 163 of 1924.

Serial No.

E3998

This passage which is in the company of the Municipal Council used as a thoroughfare.

The question of competency of the Municipal Councils Taluq Boards Contributing towards the repairs of a Cemetery which is a Private property which has not been encroached. Of the above cases no objection whatsoever of these is a legal objection by the Taluq Boards. The encroachment question must be decided.

14/4/22

The Municipal Council are competent to contribute their quota towards the repairs of gravelling the thoroughfare in question at their discretion under Section 56 (k) of the Mun. Regn.

It is doubtful whether the Taluq Board can contribute its quota as the said area is not under Section 27 (2) of the DB & VP Regn. Subject to the authority of the Taluk Board Subd

VKR 1/3

Lt 1/3

The obligatory as well as discretionary functions devolving on Municipal Councils under Chapter VI of the Municipal Regulation relate probably to matters within the municipality under their authority. It is doubtful

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 161 of 1921.

Serial No.

if the passage round a temple, though used as a thoroughfare, could be regarded as within the jurisdiction of the Municipality.

A similar remark applies to the Taluk Board also.

Dcg 24/3/22

Rev. Secy.)

Under Sec 39 of Act Repn joint-transactions with other bodies is permissible.

Under Sec 5-2 (a) a Municipal Council can make a contribution to a local Board for measures affecting the convenience of the public

Similarly Local Boards can contribute towards improvements of roads outside their jurisdiction under Sec 10 Repn. 6/3

G 318
a. 3. 22

3rd member — [illegible] municipal limits and the Parakkadavu is used as a public road within municipal limits — the contribution by the municipality may be approved. But there is no reason why the Taluq Board should contribute any thing towards this road —

Dcg 6/3

Muzrai Secretariat. Dcg 27/3/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd. File No. 163 of 1922.

Serial No.

5-126-305
30.3.22

Second Member 12/4/22
Bnk if the Lg. Bd has agreed cannot the certificate be accepted?

Reed
1.4.22 4/4/22

May this be sent to the Local Boards Branch. Mr Leeuwen, Sir Ormsby on the query of the Secy Member?

4871.
4/4/22

2nd Member

Amn
5/4

The Taluq Boards have no power to declare over roads as Municipal roads & hence their restriction is ultra vires

Ishi

1st Member

14/4/22
Amn
15/4

5-628-5-1
18.4.22

No prosecution yet cannot they contend if they wish to? this is merely a code of rules? This is merely a code of rules

Reed
19.4.22

Asst Sec'y to G.S.
Please look up proceedings Regd & bring up

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 163 of 1921.

Serial No.

Sec: 27(2) of the Mysore LB & V-P Regn limits the objects on which Taluk Boards may spend their funds & the object now under consideration does not fall within the Category of those referred to in that Section.

Bm
11/5

Members.

6462-465
15.5.22

This DCH is in the proposal

Inform DC accdgly
Bm
16/5

Draft sent
18/5/22 18/5 Bm
16/5

4 No. 9 May 1922

To the Dy C. Chitaldrug

States the object now under consideration does not fall within the Category of Sec 27(2) Mysore Local Boards & cannot be sanctioned.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DIARY No. 939

421 22

Mer

163-21

08815

101

From C.Venkata Rao Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug.

To T.E.Jayarama Iyer Esq B.A.B.
Secretary to Government, L
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

GEN & REV. SECTT.

Dated 8 SEP 1921

Despatched 7th September 1921.

Received

General No. Roc 147 Revenue

No.

Enclosure No. 2

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an estimate for Rs 390 for gravelling the prakaram of the Sri Harihareswaraswami temple at Harihar and to state that there are lot of ruts and pits around the temple the ground being very uneven and it is very difficult to walk there. It is very desirable to keep it in good condition so that the people who go to the temple for worship may not find it inconvenient to go round the temple. The Harihar Municipality has also agreed to pay one-third of the cost as the yard is used by the public as a thoroughfare. Only two-thirds of

the cost will be met from the balance of Rs. 2412-14-11 at the credit of the institution. I therefore request that the estimate may be kindly sanctioned.

The extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the municipality held on 8th August 1921 is herein enclosed for reference. Arrangements will be made to get the contribution credited to the funds of the institution as soon as the estimate is received sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,.

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Enquiries whether the Hanthan Pattu Sabha is agreeable to contribute one third of the cost in granting the Vel of Sri Hanthaneswaraswamy temple at H

Diary No. of letter replied to 939 /21

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Section Jaffna

File No. 163—21

Serial No 2

No. 1879

Date of issue 6.10.21

Signed by Mr. Tej. Rajan

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Vavuniya

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. R.O.C.14/Rev.21-22 dated 7th September 1921 submitting for sanction an estimate for Rs.390 for the guarding the Perahanam of the Sri Hanthaneswaraswami at Hanthan and stating that the Hanthan Municipality has to pay one third of the cost and requesting that the remaining two

over.

Thirds

funds may be met from the funds at the credit of the instn; I am directed to observe that the temple is used not only by the townspeople of Hanihan but also presumably by the people of the Taluk and Hanihan that as such there seems to be no reason why the Taluk Board should not also be asked to contribute one third of the cost. I am therefore to request that you will be so good as to ascertain the wishes of the Hanihan Taluk Board in the matter and intimate the same to this office at an early date ~~An early reply is requested.~~

I have etc.,

EC 7.281/21

Secretary to Govt

Aborginal Dept.

Bd
28/2/21

List of enclosures.

Recd
2.10.21

Copied by

Examined by

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug.

2775
21-22

To T.E. Jayarama Iyer Esq B.A., B.L.
Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

163-21

Dated 3

Despatched 10th January 1922.

Received

General No. Loc 147 Nov 21-22
No.

Enclosure No. One

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1879/Muz.163-21-2 dated 6th October 1921 enquiring whether the Harihar Sub Taluk Board would contribute one-third of the cost for gravelling the Prakaram of the Sri Harihareswaraswami temple at Harihar &c., I have the honor to forward herewith an extract from the resolution passed by the Sub Taluk Board of Harihar on the 29th November 1921 agreeing to bear their quota of Rs. 130 towards one-third of the cost of

SAN 11.1.22

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Try: Assistant Commissioner,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

(Absent from station).

S.V.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 2775

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 20-5-22

Signed by Mr A.V.R. Nathan

Section Mw3

File No. 163-21

Serial No 4

No. 6563

Enc. one file

To The Dy: Comm

Chitaldrug &c.

Sir, With reference

to correspondence ending

with your letter no. R.O.C

147 Rev of 21-21 & 10.1.22

soliciting sanction to

an estimate for Rs 390/-

for closing a large

of pits in the Prakaram

of the Sri Hariharesvara-

swami temple at Harihar

and stating that the Harihar municipality & the Sub Taluk Board have each agreed to contribute a third of this amount, the balance being met from the funds at the credit of the institution, I am directed to state that ~~the proposal cannot be sanctioned~~, as Sec. 27(2) of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulations limits the objects on which Taluk Boards may spend their funds and the object now under consideration does not fall within the category of those referred to in that section. ~~and that~~ as such the proposal cannot be sanctioned.

Last of enclosures.

Copied by
Examined by

I have etc

Under advice

2. The ten. papers connected with the proposal are hereu returned.

Sd/- [illegible]
Sd/- [illegible] Secy. Muz: Dept. (In charge)